



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,092	07/21/2000	Solomon S. Steiner	PDC 116	4836

23579 7590 12/05/2006

PATREA L. PABST
PABST PATENT GROUP LLP
400 COLONY SQUARE
SUITE 1200
ATLANTA, GA 30361

EXAMINER

YU, JUSTINE ROMANG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3771

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

09/621,092

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061115

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

On 10/12/06, Examiner erroneously mailed a Notice of Allowability to the appellant. The Notice of Allowability, responded to a communication filed on 1/20/06 by a different application, will be removed from the instant application. Examiner apologizes for the inconvenience. The case will be returned to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 5/5/05 and 10/24/06 were filed after the mailing date of the Final Office action on 4/8/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner. See attached IDS.

The information disclosure statements filed 3/31/06 and 9/5/06 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

On 11/30/06, the Examiner left a voice message to Ms. Monheit requested to disregard paper mailed on 11/20/06 because this case should be returned back to BPAI.

Justine R Yu
SPE
Art Unit: 3771